

Design Committee - Main Street Advisory Board  
October 12, 2016, 2016  
Minutes

Welcome : Chairman Walker called the meeting to order at 12:00 p.m.

Roll: Chairman Walker; Committee Members Brown, Cossart and Myers were present.

Absent: Committee members Bailey, Loudermilk and Potter were absent.

Staff: Catherine Edgemon – Main Street Coordinator, Robert Smith – Economic Development Director, and Christine Sewell – Recording Clerk.

Visitors: None

Old Business: None

New Business

1. Approve minutes from August 24, 2016, meeting

Committee Member Brown motioned to approve the minutes with correction as noted; Committee Member Myers seconded; all in favor with Committee Member Cossart abstaining.

2. Discuss Meter Feeder proposal

Ms. Edgemon advised the City Manager had been contacted by Meter Feeder, a company that offers a service for paying parking meter charges via a cellular app and asked for input on pursuing this. It was the consensus of the committee not to pursue.

3. Discuss proposed lamp post banner designs

Ms. Edgemon provided and the committee reviewed five proposed banner signs which incorporate the new City brand. It was suggested for the banners in downtown that it state “Historic Downtown.” Each of the members was polled for their preference. Ms. Edgemon will provide from feedback additional mockups for review.

4. Discuss proposed public piano project - Item was tabled to next meeting.

5. Discuss proposed revision to façade grant policy

Ms. Edgemon said at the recent Main Street board meeting, the board asked about a possible change for the eligibility requirement for the matching media and façade grants that a business must be in operation for at least 12 months. Ms. Edgemon said the current façade grant application makes the sign frame or post-and-arm eligible for funding as they are permanent, but the sign face is not eligible for grant funds. Committee Member Myers advised the grant had been revised to remove signs, and most applications are for work on the building; Committee Member Cossart concurred and noted often times the work proposed is something a building owner

would have to do, and it was the intent of the grant for the work done to be for the building itself. It was the consensus of the committee at this time to not change the application requirements.

6. Review Perry Main Street conflicts of interest policy - Ms. Edgemon provided as follow up.

#### Other

1. Certificate of Appropriateness issued – Report was reviewed.
2. Available façade grant funding report – Report was review.

#### Work Plan Review

Chairman Items – None

Adjourn: There being no further business to come before the committee, the meeting was adjourned at 12:30 p.m.